

INFORMATION ONLY- DO NOT FILL-OUT**INITIAL STUDY**

PROJECT TITLE:		CALSTARS CODING:
PROJECT ADDRESS:	CITY:	COUNTY:
PROJECT SPONSOR:	CONTACT:	PHONE:

APPROVAL ACTION UNDER CONSIDERATION BY DTSC:

- | | | | |
|--|---|--|---------------------------------------|
| <input type="checkbox"/> Initial Permit Issuance | <input type="checkbox"/> Permit Renewal | <input type="checkbox"/> Permit Modification | <input type="checkbox"/> Closure Plan |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Removal Action Workplan | <input type="checkbox"/> Remedial Action Plan | <input type="checkbox"/> Interim Removal | <input type="checkbox"/> Regulations |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Other (specify): | | | |

STATUTORY AUTHORITY:

- ☐
- California H&SC, Chap. 6.5
- ☐
- California H&SC, Chap. 6.8
- ☐
- Other (specify):

DTSC PROGRAM/ ADDRESS:	CONTACT:	PHONE:
------------------------	----------	--------

PROJECT DESCRIPTION:

ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT ANALYSIS:

1. Aesthetics

Description of Baseline Environmental Conditions:

Analysis as to whether or not project activities would:

- a. Have a substantial adverse effect on a scenic vista.

Impact Analysis:

Conclusion:

- ☐
- Potentially Significant Impact
-
- ☐
- Potentially Significant Unless Mitigated
-
- ☐
- Less Than Significant Impact
-
- ☐
- No Impact

- b. Substantially damage scenic resources, including, but not limited to, trees, rock outcroppings and historic buildings within a state scenic highway.

Impact Analysis:

Conclusion:

- ☐
- Potentially Significant Impact
-
- ☐
- Potentially Significant Unless Mitigated
-
- ☐
- Less Than Significant Impact

INFORMATION ONLY- DO NOT FILL-OUT

☐ No Impact

- c. Substantially degrade the existing visual character or quality of the site and its surroundings.

Impact Analysis:

Conclusion:

- ☐ Potentially Significant Impact
☐ Potentially Significant Unless Mitigated
☐ Less Than Significant Impact
☐ No Impact

- d. Create a new source of substantial light of glare that would adversely affect day or nighttime views in the area.

Impact Analysis:

Conclusion:

- ☐ Potentially Significant Impact
☐ Potentially Significant Unless Mitigated
☐ Less Than Significant Impact
☐ No Impact

References Used:

2. Agricultural Resources

Description of Baseline Environmental Conditions:

Analysis as to whether or not project activities would:

- a. Convert Prime Farmland, Unique Farmland, or Farmland of Statewide Importance (Farmland) as shown on the maps prepared pursuant to the Farmland Mapping and Monitoring Program of the California Resources Agency, to non-agricultural use.

Impact Analysis:

Conclusion:

- ☐ Potentially Significant Impact
☐ Potentially Significant Unless Mitigated
☐ Less Than Significant Impact
☐ No Impact

- b. Conflict with existing zoning or agriculture use, or Williamson Act contract.

Impact Analysis:

Conclusion:

- ☐ Potentially Significant Impact
☐ Potentially Significant Unless Mitigated
☐ Less Than Significant Impact
☐ No Impact

- c. Involve other changes in the existing environment which, due to their location or nature, could result in conversion of Farmland, to non-agricultural uses.

INFORMATION ONLY- DO NOT FILL-OUT

Impact Analysis:

Conclusion:

- ☐ Potentially Significant Impact
- ☐ Potentially Significant Unless Mitigated
- ☐ Less Than Significant Impact
- ☐ No Impact

References Used:

3. Air Quality

Description of Baseline Environmental Conditions:

Analysis as to whether or not project activities would:

- a. Conflict with or obstruct implementation of the applicable air quality plan.

Impact Analysis:

Conclusion:

- ☐ Potentially Significant Impact
- ☐ Potentially Significant Unless Mitigated
- ☐ Less Than Significant Impact
- ☐ No Impact

- b. Violate any air quality standard or contribute substantially to an existing or projected air quality violation.

Impact Analysis:

Conclusion:

- ☐ Potentially Significant Impact
- ☐ Potentially Significant Unless Mitigated
- ☐ Less Than Significant Impact
- ☐ No Impact

- c. Result in cumulatively considerable net increase of any criteria pollutant for which the project region is non-attainment under an applicable federal or state ambient air quality standard (including releasing emissions which exceed quantitative thresholds for ozone precursors).

Impact Analysis:

Conclusion:

- ☐ Potentially Significant Impact
- ☐ Potentially Significant Unless Mitigated
- ☐ Less Than Significant Impact
- ☐ No Impact

- d. Expose sensitive receptors to substantial pollutant concentrations.

Impact Analysis:

Conclusion:

- ☐ Potentially Significant Impact

INFORMATION ONLY- DO NOT FILL-OUT

- ☐ Potentially Significant Unless Mitigated
- ☐ Less Than Significant Impact
- ☐ No Impact

- e. Create objectionable odors affecting a substantial number of people.

Impact Analysis:

Conclusion:

- ☐ Potentially Significant Impact
- ☐ Potentially Significant Unless Mitigated
- ☐ Less Than Significant Impact
- ☐ No Impact

- f. Result in human exposure to Naturally Occurring Asbestos (see also Geology and Soils, f.).

Impact Analysis:

Conclusion:

- ☐ Potentially Significant Impact
- ☐ Potentially Significant Unless Mitigated
- ☐ Less Than Significant Impact
- ☐ No Impact

References Used:

4. Biological Resources

Description of Baseline Environmental Conditions:

Analysis as to whether or not project activities would:

- a. Have a substantial adverse effect, either directly or through habitat modifications, on any species identified as a candidate, sensitive, or special status species in local or regional plans, policies, or regulations, or by the California Department of Fish and Game or U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service.

Impact Analysis:

Conclusion:

- ☐ Potentially Significant Impact
- ☐ Potentially Significant Unless Mitigated
- ☐ Less Than Significant Impact
- ☐ No Impact

- b. Have a substantial adverse effect on any riparian habitat or other sensitive natural community identified in local or regional plans, policies, regulations, or by the California Department of Fish and Game or U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service.

Impact Analysis:

Conclusion:

- ☐ Potentially Significant Impact
- ☐ Potentially Significant Unless Mitigated
- ☐ Less Than Significant Impact
- ☐ No Impact

INFORMATION ONLY- DO NOT FILL-OUT

- c. Have a substantial adverse effect on federally protected wetlands as defined by Section 404 of the Clean Water Act (including, but not limited to, marsh, vernal pool, coastal, etc.) through direct removal, filling, hydrological interruption, or other means.

Impact Analysis:

Conclusion:

- ☐ Potentially Significant Impact
☐ Potentially Significant Unless Mitigated
☐ Less Than Significant Impact
☐ No Impact

- d. Interfere substantially with the movement of any native resident or migratory fish or wildlife species or with established native resident or migratory wildlife corridors, or impede the use of native wildlife nursery sites.

Impact Analysis:

Conclusion:

- ☐ Potentially Significant Impact
☐ Potentially Significant Unless Mitigated
☐ Less Than Significant Impact
☐ No Impact

- e. Conflict with local policies or ordinances protecting biological resources, such as a tree preservation policy or ordinance.

Impact Analysis:

Conclusion:

- ☐ Potentially Significant Impact
☐ Potentially Significant Unless Mitigated
☐ Less Than Significant Impact
☐ No Impact

- f. Conflict with the provisions of an adopted Habitat Conservation Plan, Natural Community Conservation Plan, or other approved local, regional, or state habitat conservation plan.

Impact Analysis:

Conclusion:

- ☐ Potentially Significant Impact
☐ Potentially Significant Unless Mitigated
☐ Less Than Significant Impact
☐ No Impact

References Used:

5. Cultural Resources

Description of Baseline Environmental Conditions:

Analysis as to whether or not project activities would:

- a. Cause a substantial adverse change in the significance of a historical resource as defined in 15064.5.

INFORMATION ONLY- DO NOT FILL-OUT

Impact Analysis:

Conclusion:

- ☐ Potentially Significant Impact
- ☐ Potentially Significant Unless Mitigated
- ☐ Less Than Significant Impact
- ☐ No Impact

- b. Cause a substantial adverse change in the significance of an archeological resource pursuant to 15064.5.

Impact Analysis:

Conclusion:

- ☐ Potentially Significant Impact
- ☐ Potentially Significant Unless Mitigated
- ☐ Less Than Significant Impact
- ☐ No Impact

- c. Directly or indirectly destroy a unique paleontological resource or site or unique geologic feature.

Impact Analysis:

Conclusion:

- ☐ Potentially Significant Impact
- ☐ Potentially Significant Unless Mitigated
- ☐ Less Than Significant Impact
- ☐ No Impact

- d. Disturb any human remains, including those interred outside of formal cemeteries.

Impact Analysis:

Conclusion:

- ☐ Potentially Significant Impact
- ☐ Potentially Significant Unless Mitigated
- ☐ Less Than Significant Impact
- ☐ No Impact

References Used:

6. Geology and Soils

Description of Baseline Environmental Conditions:

Analysis as to whether or not project activities would:

- a. Expose people or structures to potential substantial adverse effects, including the risk of loss, injury, or death involving:
- Rupture of a known earthquake fault, as delineated on the most recent Alquist-Priolo Earthquake Fault Zoning Map issued by the State Geologist for the area or based on other substantial evidence of a known fault. (Refer to Division of Mines and Geology Special Publication 42).
 - Strong seismic ground shaking.

INFORMATION ONLY- DO NOT FILL-OUT

- Seismic-related ground failure, including liquefaction.
- Landslides.

Impact Analysis:

Conclusion:

- ☐ Potentially Significant Impact
- ☐ Potentially Significant Unless Mitigated
- ☐ Less Than Significant Impact
- ☐ No Impact

- b. Result in substantial soil erosion or the loss of topsoil.

Impact Analysis:

Conclusion:

- ☐ Potentially Significant Impact
- ☐ Potentially Significant Unless Mitigated
- ☐ Less Than Significant Impact
- ☐ No Impact

- c. Be located on a geologic unit or soil that is unstable, or that would become unstable as a result of the project, and potentially result in on or off-site landslide, lateral spreading, subsidence, liquefaction or collapse.

Impact Analysis:

Conclusion:

- ☐ Potentially Significant Impact
- ☐ Potentially Significant Unless Mitigated
- ☐ Less Than Significant Impact
- ☐ No Impact

- d. Be located on expansive soil, as defined in Table 18-1-B of the Uniform Building Code (1994), creating substantial risks to life or property.

Impact Analysis:

Conclusion:

- ☐ Potentially Significant Impact
- ☐ Potentially Significant Unless Mitigated
- ☐ Less Than Significant Impact
- ☐ No Impact

- e. Have soils incapable of adequately supporting the use of septic tanks or alternative waste water disposal systems where sewers are not available for the disposal of water.

Impact Analysis:

Conclusion:

- ☐ Potentially Significant Impact

INFORMATION ONLY- DO NOT FILL-OUT

- ☐ Potentially Significant Unless Mitigated
- ☐ Less Than Significant Impact
- ☐ No Impact

- f. Be located in an area containing naturally occurring asbestos (see also Air Quality, f.).

Impact Analysis:

Conclusion:

- ☐ Potentially Significant Impact
- ☐ Potentially Significant Unless Mitigated
- ☐ Less Than Significant Impact
- ☐ No Impact

References Used:

7. Hazards and Hazardous Materials

Description of Baseline Environmental Conditions:

Analysis as to whether or not project activities would:

- a. Create a significant hazard to the public or the environment throughout the routine transport, use or disposal of hazardous materials.

Impact Analysis:

Conclusion:

- ☐ Potentially Significant Impact
- ☐ Potentially Significant Unless Mitigated
- ☐ Less Than Significant Impact
- ☐ No Impact

- b. Create a significant hazard to the public or the environment through reasonably foreseeable upset and accident conditions involving the release of hazardous materials into the environment.

Impact Analysis:

Conclusion:

- ☐ Potentially Significant Impact
- ☐ Potentially Significant Unless Mitigated
- ☐ Less Than Significant Impact
- ☐ No Impact

- c. Emit hazardous emissions or handle hazardous or acutely hazardous materials, substances or waste within one-quarter mile of an existing or proposed school.

Impact Analysis:

Conclusion:

- ☐ Potentially Significant Impact
- ☐ Potentially Significant Unless Mitigated
- ☐ Less Than Significant Impact

INFORMATION ONLY- DO NOT FILL-OUT

☐ No Impact

- d. Be located on a site which is included on a list of hazardous materials sites compiled pursuant to Government Code Section 65962.5 and, as a result, would it create a significant hazard to public or the environment.

Impact Analysis:

Conclusion:

- ☐ Potentially Significant Impact
☐ Potentially Significant Unless Mitigated
☐ Less Than Significant Impact
☐ No Impact

- e. Impair implementation of, or physically interfere with, an adopted emergency response plan or emergency evacuation plan.

Impact Analysis:

Conclusion:

- ☐ Potentially Significant Impact
☐ Potentially Significant Unless Mitigated
☐ Less Than Significant Impact
☐ No Impact

References Used:

8. Hydrology and Water Quality

Description of Baseline Environmental Conditions:

Analysis as to whether or not project activities would:

- a. Violate any water quality standards or waste discharge requirements.

Impact Analysis:

Conclusion:

- ☐ Potentially Significant Impact
☐ Potentially Significant Unless Mitigated
☐ Less Than Significant Impact
☐ No Impact

- b. Substantially deplete groundwater supplies or interfere substantially with groundwater recharge such that there would be a net deficient in aquifer volume or a lowering of the local groundwater table level (e.g., the production rate of pre-existing nearby wells would drop to a level which would not support existing land uses or planned uses for which permits have been granted).

Impact Analysis:

Conclusion:

- ☐ Potentially Significant Impact
☐ Potentially Significant Unless Mitigated
☐ Less Than Significant Impact
☐ No Impact

INFORMATION ONLY- DO NOT FILL-OUT

- c. Substantially alter the existing drainage pattern of the site or area, including through the alteration of the course of a stream or river, in a manner which would result in substantial erosion or siltation on or off-site.

Impact Analysis:

Conclusion:

- ☐ Potentially Significant Impact
☐ Potentially Significant Unless Mitigated
☐ Less Than Significant Impact
☐ No Impact

- d. Substantially alter the existing drainage pattern of the site or area, including through the alteration of the course of a stream or river, or substantially increase the rate or amount of surface runoff in a manner which would result in flooding on or off-site.

Impact Analysis:

Conclusion:

- ☐ Potentially Significant Impact
☐ Potentially Significant Unless Mitigated
☐ Less Than Significant Impact
☐ No Impact

- e. Create or contribute runoff water which would exceed the capacity of existing or planned storm water drainage systems or provide substantial additional sources of polluted runoff.

Impact Analysis:

Conclusion:

- ☐ Potentially Significant Impact
☐ Potentially Significant Unless Mitigated
☐ Less Than Significant Impact
☐ No Impact

- f. Otherwise substantially degrade water quality.

Impact Analysis:

Conclusion:

- ☐ Potentially Significant Impact
☐ Potentially Significant Unless Mitigated
☐ Less Than Significant Impact
☐ No Impact

- g. Place within a 100-flood hazard area structures which would impede or redirect flood flows.

Impact Analysis:

Conclusion:

- ☐ Potentially Significant Impact
☐ Potentially Significant Unless Mitigated
☐ Less Than Significant Impact
☐ No Impact

INFORMATION ONLY- DO NOT FILL-OUT

- h. Expose people or structures to a significant risk of loss, injury or death involving flooding, including flooding as a result of the failure of a levee or dam.

Impact Analysis:

Conclusion:

- ☐ Potentially Significant Impact
☐ Potentially Significant Unless Mitigated
☐ Less Than Significant Impact
☐ No Impact

- i. Inundation by sieche, tsunami or mudflow.

Impact Analysis:

Conclusion:

- ☐ Potentially Significant Impact
☐ Potentially Significant Unless Mitigated
☐ Less Than Significant Impact
☐ No Impact

References Used:

9. Land Use and Planning

Description of Baseline Environmental Conditions:

Analysis as to whether or not project activities would:

- a. Conflict with any applicable land use plan, policy, or regulation of an agency with jurisdiction over the project (including, but not limited to the general plan, specific plan, local coastal program, or zoning ordinance) adopted for the purpose of avoiding or mitigating an environmental effect.

Impact Analysis:

Conclusion:

- ☐ Potentially Significant Impact
☐ Potentially Significant Unless Mitigated
☐ Less Than Significant Impact
☐ No Impact

- b. Conflict with any applicable habitat conservation plan or natural community conservation plan.

Impact Analysis:

Conclusion:

- ☐ Potentially Significant Impact
☐ Potentially Significant Unless Mitigated
☐ Less Than Significant Impact
☐ No Impact

References Used:

10. Mineral Resources

INFORMATION ONLY- DO NOT FILL-OUT

Description of Baseline Environmental Conditions:

Analysis as to whether or not project activities would:

- a. Result in the loss of availability of a known mineral resource that would be of value to the region and the residents of the state.

Impact Analysis:

Conclusion:

- ☐ Potentially Significant Impact
☐ Potentially Significant Unless Mitigated
☐ Less Than Significant Impact
☐ No Impact

- b. Result in the loss of availability of a locally-important mineral resource recovery site delineated on a local general plan, specific plan or other land use plan.

Impact Analysis:

Conclusion:

- ☐ Potentially Significant Impact
☐ Potentially Significant Unless Mitigated
☐ Less Than Significant Impact
☐ No Impact

References Used:

11. Noise

Description of Baseline Environmental Conditions:

Analysis as to whether or not project activities would:

- a. Exposure of persons to or generation of noise levels in excess of standards established in the local general plan or noise ordinance, or applicable standards of other agencies.

Impact Analysis:

Conclusion:

- ☐ Potentially Significant Impact
☐ Potentially Significant Unless Mitigated
☐ Less Than Significant Impact
☐ No Impact

- b. Exposure of persons to or generation of excessive groundbourne vibration or groundbourne noise levels.

Impact Analysis:

Conclusion:

- ☐ Potentially Significant Impact
☐ Potentially Significant Unless Mitigated
☐ Less Than Significant Impact
☐ No Impact

INFORMATION ONLY- DO NOT FILL-OUT

- c. A substantial permanent increase in ambient noise levels in the vicinity above levels existing without the project.

Impact Analysis:

Conclusion:

- ☐ Potentially Significant Impact
☐ Potentially Significant Unless Mitigated
☐ Less Than Significant Impact
☐ No Impact

- d. A substantial temporary or periodic increase in ambient noise levels in the project vicinity above levels existing without the project.

Impact Analysis:

Conclusion:

- ☐ Potentially Significant Impact
☐ Potentially Significant Unless Mitigated
☐ Less Than Significant Impact
☐ No Impact

References Used:

12. Population and Housing

Description of Baseline Environmental Conditions:

Analysis as to whether or not project activities would:

- a. Induce substantial population growth in area, either directly (for example, by proposing new homes and businesses) or indirectly (for example, through extension of roads or other infrastructure).

Impact Analysis:

Conclusion:

- ☐ Potentially Significant Impact
☐ Potentially Significant Unless Mitigated
☐ Less Than Significant Impact
☐ No Impact

- b. Displace substantial numbers of existing housing, necessitating the construction of replacement housing elsewhere.

Impact Analysis:

Conclusion:

- ☐ Potentially Significant Impact
☐ Potentially Significant Unless Mitigated
☐ Less Than Significant Impact
☐ No Impact

- c. Displace substantial numbers of people, necessitating the construction of replacement housing elsewhere.

Impact Analysis:

INFORMATION ONLY- DO NOT FILL-OUT

Conclusion:

- ☐ Potentially Significant Impact
- ☐ Potentially Significant Unless Mitigated
- ☐ Less Than Significant Impact
- ☐ No Impact

References Used:

13. Public Services

Description of Baseline Environmental Conditions:

Analysis as to whether or not project activities would:

- a. Result in substantial adverse physical impacts associated with the provision of new or physically altered government facilities, need for new or physically altered governmental facilities, the construction of which could cause significant environmental impacts, in order to maintain acceptable service ratios, response times or other performance objectives for any of the following public services:

- Fire protection
- Police protection
- Schools
- Parks
- Other public facilities

Impact Analysis:

Conclusion:

- ☐ Potentially Significant Impact
- ☐ Potentially Significant Unless Mitigated
- ☐ Less Than Significant Impact
- ☐ No Impact

References Used:

14. Recreation

Description of Baseline Environmental Conditions:

Analysis as to whether or not project activities would:

- a. Increase the use of existing neighborhood and regional parks or other recreational facilities such that substantial physical deterioration of the facility would occur or be accelerated.

Impact Analysis:

Conclusion:

- ☐ Potentially Significant Impact
- ☐ Potentially Significant Unless Mitigated
- ☐ Less Than Significant Impact
- ☐ No Impact

INFORMATION ONLY- DO NOT FILL-OUT

- b. Include recreational facilities or require construction or expansion of recreational facilities which might have an adverse physical effect on the environment.

Impact Analysis:

Conclusion:

- ☐ Potentially Significant Impact
☐ Potentially Significant Unless Mitigated
☐ Less Than Significant Impact
☐ No Impact

References Used:

15. Transportation and Traffic

Description of Baseline Environmental Conditions:

Analysis as to whether or not project activities would:

- a. Cause an increase in traffic which is substantial in relation to the existing traffic load and capacity of the street system (i.e., result in a substantial increase in either the number of vehicle trips, the volume to capacity ratio on roads, or congestion at intersections).

Impact Analysis:

Conclusion:

- ☐ Potentially Significant Impact
☐ Potentially Significant Unless Mitigated
☐ Less Than Significant Impact
☐ No Impact

- b. Exceed, either individually or cumulatively, a level of service standard established by the country congestion management agency for designated roads or highway.

Impact Analysis:

Conclusion:

- ☐ Potentially Significant Impact
☐ Potentially Significant Unless Mitigated
☐ Less Than Significant Impact
☐ No Impact

- c. Substantially increase hazards due to a design feature (e.g., sharp curves or dangerous intersections) or incompatible uses (e.g., farm equipment).

Impact Analysis:

Conclusion:

- ☐ Potentially Significant Impact
☐ Potentially Significant Unless Mitigated
☐ Less Than Significant Impact
☐ No Impact

INFORMATION ONLY- DO NOT FILL-OUT

- d. Result in inadequate emergency access.

Impact Analysis:

Conclusion:

- ☐ Potentially Significant Impact
☐ Potentially Significant Unless Mitigated
☐ Less Than Significant Impact
☐ No Impact

- e. Result in inadequate parking capacity.

Impact Analysis:

Conclusion:

- ☐ Potentially Significant Impact
☐ Potentially Significant Unless Mitigated
☐ Less Than Significant Impact
☐ No Impact

- f. Conflict with adopted policies, plans, or programs supporting alternative transportation (e.g., bus turnouts, bicycle racks).

Impact Analysis:

Conclusion:

- ☐ Potentially Significant Impact
☐ Potentially Significant Unless Mitigated
☐ Less Than Significant Impact
☐ No Impact

References Used:

16. Utilities and Service Systems

Description of Baseline Environmental Conditions:

Analysis as to whether or not project activities would:

- a. Exceed wastewater treatment requirements of the applicable Regional Water Quality Control Board.

Impact Analysis:

Conclusion:

- ☐ Potentially Significant Impact
☐ Potentially Significant Unless Mitigated
☐ Less Than Significant Impact
☐ No Impact

- b. Require or result in the construction of new water or wastewater treatment facilities or expansion of existing facilities, the construction of which could cause significant environmental effects.

Impact Analysis:

INFORMATION ONLY- DO NOT FILL-OUT

Conclusion:

- ☐ Potentially Significant Impact
☐ Potentially Significant Unless Mitigated
☐ Less Than Significant Impact
☐ No Impact

- c. Require or result in the construction of new storm water drainage facilities or expansion of existing facilities, the construction of which could cause significant environmental effects.

Impact Analysis:

Conclusion:

- ☐ Potentially Significant Impact
☐ Potentially Significant Unless Mitigated
☐ Less Than Significant Impact
☐ No Impact

- d. Have sufficient water supplies available to serve the project from existing entitlements and resources, or are new or expanded entitlements needed.

Impact Analysis:

Conclusion:

- ☐ Potentially Significant Impact
☐ Potentially Significant Unless Mitigated
☐ Less Than Significant Impact
☐ No Impact

- e. Result in determination by the wastewater treatment provider which serves or may serve the project that it has adequate capacity to serve the projects projected demand in addition to the providers existing commitments.

Impact Analysis:

Conclusion:

- ☐ Potentially Significant Impact
☐ Potentially Significant Unless Mitigated
☐ Less Than Significant Impact
☐ No Impact

- f. Be served by a landfill with sufficient permitted capacity to accommodate the projects solid waste disposal needs.

Impact Analysis:

Conclusion:

- ☐ Potentially Significant Impact
☐ Potentially Significant Unless Mitigated
☐ Less Than Significant Impact
☐ No Impact

- g. Comply with federal, state, and local statutes and regulations related to solid waste.

INFORMATION ONLY- DO NOT FILL-OUT

Impact Analysis:

Conclusion:

- ☐ Potentially Significant Impact
- ☐ Potentially Significant Unless Mitigated
- ☐ Less Than Significant Impact
- ☐ No Impact

References Used:

Finding Of De Minimis Impact To Fish, Wildlife And Habitat (Optional)¹

The following provides substantial evidence as to why the project will have **no potential for adverse effect** on the listed resources as defined by section 711.2 of the Fish and Game Code:

- a. Riparian land, rivers, streams, watercourse, and wetlands under state and federal jurisdiction.

Discussion:

Finding:

☐ No potential for adverse effect.

- b. Native and non-native plant life and the soil required to sustain habitat for fish and wildlife.

Discussion:

Finding:

☐ No potential for adverse effect.

- c. Rare and unique plant life and ecological community's dependent on plant life.

Discussion:

Finding: ☐ No potential for adverse effect.

- d. Listed threatened and endangered plant and animals and the habitat in which they are believed to reside.

Discussion:

Finding: ☐ No potential for adverse effect.

- e. All species of plant or animals as listed as protected or identified for special management in the Fish and Game Code, the Public Resources Code, the Water Code, or regulation adopted there under.

Discussion:

¹ Complete only if a Finding of De Minimis Impact to fish, wildlife and habitat is proposed in lieu of payment of the Department of Fish and Game Notice of Determination filing fee required pursuant to section 711.4 of the Fish and Game Code. A finding of "no potential adverse effect" must be made to satisfy the requirements for the Finding of De Minimis Impact as required by title 14, California Code of Regulations, section 753.5.

INFORMATION ONLY- DO NOT FILL-OUT

Finding: ☐ No potential for adverse effect.

- f. All marine and terrestrial species subject to the jurisdiction of the Department of Fish and Game and the ecological communities in which they reside.

Discussion:

Finding: ☐ No potential for adverse effect.

- g. All air and water resources the degradation of which will individually or cumulatively result in a loss of biological diversity among the plants and animals residing in that air and water.

Discussion:

Finding: ☐ No potential for adverse effect.

Mandatory Findings of Significance

Based on evidence provided in this Initial Study, DTSC makes the following findings:

- a. The project ☐ has ☐ does not have the potential to degrade the quality of the environment, substantially reduce the habitat of a fish or wildlife species, cause a fish or wildlife population to drop below self-sustaining levels, threaten to eliminate a plant or animal community, reduce the number or restrict the range of a rare or endangered plant or animal, or eliminate important examples of the major periods of California history or prehistory.
- b. The project ☐ has ☐ does not have impacts that are individually limited but cumulatively considerable. "Cumulatively considerable" means that the incremental effects of an individual project are considerable when viewed in connection with the effects of past projects, the effects of other current projects, and the effects of probable future projects.
- c. The project ☐ has ☐ does not have environmental effects that will cause substantial adverse effects on human beings, either directly or indirectly.

Determination of Appropriate Environmental Document:

Based on evidence provided in this Initial Study, DTSC makes the following determination:

☐ The proposed project COULD NOT HAVE a significant effect on the environment. A **Negative Declaration** will be prepared.

☐ The proposed project COULD HAVE a significant effect on the environment. However, there will not be a significant effect in this case because revisions in the project have been made by or agreed to by the project proponent. A **Mitigated Negative Declaration** will be prepared.

☐ The proposed project MAY HAVE a significant effect on the environment. An **Environmental Impact Report** is required.

☐ The proposed project MAY HAVE a "potentially significant impact" or "potentially significant unless mitigated" impact on the environment, but at least one effect 1) has been adequately analyzed in an earlier document pursuant to applicable legal standards, and 2) has been addressed by mitigation measures based on the earlier analysis as described on attached sheets. An **Environmental Impact Report** is required, but it must analyze only the effects that remain to be addressed.

☐ The proposed project COULD HAVE a significant effect on the environment. However, all potentially significant effects (a) have been analyzed adequately in an earlier Environmental Impact Report or Negative Declaration pursuant to applicable standards, and (b) have been avoided or mitigated pursuant to that earlier Environmental Impact Report or

INFORMATION ONLY- DO NOT FILL-OUT

Negative Declaration, including revisions or mitigation measures that are imposed upon the proposed project. Therefore, nothing further is required.

Approvals:

_____ Preparer's Signature		_____ Date
_____ Preparer's Name	_____ Preparer's Title	_____ Phone #
_____ Branch Chief Signature		_____ Date
_____ Branch Chief Name	_____ Branch Chief Title	_____ Phone #

INFORMATION ONLY- DO NOT FILL-OUT

ATTACHEMENT A

REFERENCES